

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

10/828/759 04/21/2004 Michael J. Lonsway 21127 7590 06/02/2008 RISSMAN JOBSE HENDRICKS & OLIVERIO, LLP 100 Cambridge Street	G0003/7254 5351  EXAMINER
RISSMAN JOBSE HENDRICKS & OLIVERIO, LLP	
	WEAVER, SUE A
Suite 2101 BOSTON, MA 02114	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
	3781
	MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE  06/02/2008 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/828,759 LONSWAY, MICHAEL J. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Sue A. Weaver 3781 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 December 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-12.24 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-12,24 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/828,759

Art Unit: 3781

 The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 12, 24 and 25 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or in the alternative under 35 USC 103 as obvious over either Marco '096 or Suzuki et al JP '823, both cited by applicant.

Both Marco and Suzuki et al teach attachment of a neck finish ring to a container neck with radial expansion of the neck, as claimed. Note the discussion by both Marco and Suzuki et al of the ring functioning as the mold surface with both containers being blow molded. Note also the showing in Figure 5 and 6 of Suzuki et al where the inner diameter of the preform neck is radially expanded against the ring.

Members 15 form spaced beads or lugs on Marco received in recesses 21 on the ring. Note also the ribs and grooves shown in Figures 4-6 and also the formations 24 in Figure 7 of Suzuki et al.

 Claim 7 is again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Taylor, Jr. '704, of record.

To have provided the neck or ring with a knurl for retention in the manner taught by Taylor, Jr. at 23 would have been obvious.

 Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yoshino et al '046, of record. Application/Control Number: 10/828,759 Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

To have oriented the neck portion with a member forming an anvil in the manner of Yoshio et al would have been obvious.

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/7/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to applicant's arguments blow molding of the neck by Marco inherently results in radial expansion when the material of the neck is forced into the thread formations of the ring. In similar manner Suzuki et al specifically discusses the radial draw forming of the neck again resulting in and inherent radial expansion.

  Applicant appears to challenge the fact that the inner portion or diameter of the neck isn't expanded with blow molding although applicant has failed to show any evidence to the contrary. This appears to be more in the nature of opinion. Surely applicant is aware of the expansion of the neck and inner diameter during blow molding processes. Such is well-known in the art and demonstrated by Beck et al '791 (see 13) and Rosenkranz et al '060 (see 15). Furthermore which biaxial orientation blow molding against the ring functioning as a part of the mold as suggested by Marco and clearly demonstrated by Suzuki et al, the inner diameter of the neck would inherently be radially expanded. Claims 3 and 13-23 have been canceled.
- 5. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,759 Page 4

Art Unit: 3781

## **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:
Certificate of Transmission
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. ( ) on  (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
<del></del>
Signature:
Registration Number:

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 5:30 to 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,759 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor is Anthony Stashick. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sue A. Weaver/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781